

**GUNNISON COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH BOARD  
AGENDA: May 4, 2006**

**Planning Commission Meeting Room, Blackstock Government Center,  
Gunnison County 221 N. Wisconsin, second floor.  
All meetings are open to the public.**

Anyone needing special accommodations please contact the Environmental Health Office prior to the meeting.

- 2:00 p.m. Call to order; determine quorum, introduction of new members, Marlene Zanetell and Rick Richards**
- 2:15 p.m. Approval of minutes from the February 16, 2006 meeting**
- 2:30 p.m. Unscheduled Citizens**
- 2:40 p.m. Review of Planning Department modifications and Attorney recommendations for the Proposed Amendments to the Gunnison County Individual Sewage Disposal Regulations.**
- 3:30 p.m. Discussion of Board of County Commissioners request regarding future role of EH Board . Next meeting preparation.**
- 3:45 p.m. Adjourn**

**Gunnison County Environmental Health  
Regular Meeting  
May 4, 2006**

The Gunnison County Environmental Health board conducted a regular meeting on May 4, 2006, in the Commissioner's Meeting Room in the Blackstock Governmental Center.

Present were:

Steve Glazer, E.H. Vice-Chairman  
Maureen Hall, E. H. Board Member  
Rick Richards, Alternate E. H. Member  
Michelle Spain, Adm. Assistant

Ted Violett, E. H. Board Member  
Marlene Zanetell, E. H. Board Member  
Richard Stenson, E. H. Official

- 02:05 p.m. Call to order by Steve Glazer; determine quorum, above listed attended Lucinda Lull absent, introduction of new members, Marlene Zanetell and Rick Richards.** M Zanetell requested that members be able to add matters to the agenda. Glazer requested to add the Mine site under investigation. The spill in Mt Crested Butte will be an added agenda item at 03:30 p.m.
- 02:15 p.m. Approval of minutes from the February 16, 2006 meeting.** Review of minutes correction requested by Glazer as to the last paragraph. Change the wording on surface wells and who is responsible for contacting well owners in the area. Motion to approve as amended by Hall, 2<sup>nd</sup> Violett, Both new members unable to vote on this.
- 02:20 p.m. Unscheduled Citizens**
- 02:22 p.m. Added agenda item. EPA Super Fund Removal of Tailings.** Glazer commenced the review. The first item will be the dewatering of tailings, then road improvements, diverting Elk Creek around this mine. URS has been hired as the contractor. Power has been requested to be brought in and the BOCC has concerns on this. Once the power is brought in any one can then tap in to this station. Alternatives have been requested for review. Emergency communications are necessary. A citizen advisory group had been organized to meet with EPA on this project. Within the next 2 weeks there will be a project plan and cost analysis, response plan, hazardous containment plan. Glazer was thanked, by the board, for all his hard work on this matter. The EPA had been very cooperative on this matter. The Coalition had been able to get grant money to assist with the costs. Stenson had a question on the County's involvement, and possible Land Use Change request due to bringing power to the site. The County may have wetland issues and land use issues. The Forest Service is also assisting in this cleanup. Stenson commented that County has had representatives at all of these meetings. Glazer had given a presentation to the BOCC to keep them abreast of the project. Richards would like this added to the last item of this meeting. Stenson had requested a meeting with the attorney to review these comments from the attorney's office.
- 02:50 p.m. Review of Planning Department modifications and Attorney recommendations for the Proposed Amendments to the Gunnison County Individual Sewage Disposal Regulations.** Zanetell asked if this was the first review of the ISDS regulations. Glazer responded that these current regulations have been worked on for the past year. Glazer stated that there are some major changes. Zanetell suggested an area added for appendices. This is where the amendments need to be added. Stenson questioned if this was an organizational request? Zanetell responded that under appendices you would list all

the amendments. The date of adoption needs to be placed on this cover sheet. Stenson responded, make sure the chronology and appendixes are listed. Then change your index to reflect these items. Stenson reviewed the process that this board has gone through to get to this state. Richards questioned that there is no page 64. Zanetell asked how the board got to this stage. The regulations have been reviewed page by page twice by the board members. Stenson had requested a meeting with the attorney to review these comments from the attorney's office. Items from the attorney's office are reviewed individually. When this goes to the BOCC this board and staff need to be there to support it. Request from Richards to give a presentation on engineered and preformed based systems to the BOCC. Stenson brought in the latest EPA manual for monitoring waste water systems. Much of our changes were taken from this manual. Zanetell had a question on applications from year round residents and who would get preferences in this application process. Hall requested that we please stick to what is on the agenda for today. Glazer requested that it might be helpful for the new board members to draft a list of questions they may have on the revised regulations for review at another time. This document will not go to the board until all questions are answered for each member. Point of order requested by Richards. Richards requested that we stick to the agenda as stated this date, 2<sup>nd</sup> Hall, discussion ensues by Glazer. Note, give further discussion to section G, 104.

I) Continued of review of Attorney's list. What is available for commercial if the county is unable to help? Water quality and size are the issues. See I. Who is responsible to monitoring these performed based permits? Who ever issued the permit. Commercial based systems will have to prove that the discharge is adequately handled. Add to I , incase a county permit is not available, the applicant must apply to state or fed for this permit.

L) Review commences. Hall and Violet stated that they did agree with this. The 1<sup>st</sup> part remains but the last section add to a new section. Stenson questioned where this should be. He indicated that this was purposely made to be a policy statement for all future requests. Discussion again on the review process. The BOCC establishes the regulations but the Staff & EH Board will do all appeals. This must be added through out the regulations. Modifying the 2<sup>nd</sup> to last sentence. See Stenson's notes in this area. For the requirements of repairs refer to Article 4, Move last sentence to 4-103.

M) Review of attorney's comments. 104-L Glazer started review. Glazer rejects this recommendation since we do not agree to an expansion of a system if it is not in compliance or there are safety to health issues. Board discussion on wording of technical. Who determines the need for this review? An example of a development to allow a 200 1 acre parcels, be used for 200 ISDS systems.

Discussion on locating all current septic systems. Attorney wants to require only on all new applications. Stenson stated that the main issue was being missed. Repair contractors have stated that this is very much needed in this county. Currently the county receives requests to supply this. Staff wanted the property owner to be the responsible person. Site maps need to be supplied and are required on the new systems.

Discussion on the ISDS revised proposed amendments will continue on May 25 at 9 a.m.

The next regular meeting is May 18 at 2 p.m. The Board also set its next regular meetings for June 8, at 9 a.m. and for June 22, if a second meeting is needed.

**03:50 p.m. Discussion of Board of County Commissioners request regarding future role of E. H. Board. Next Meeting preparation.**

Chairman Glazer referred to a memorandum from the Board of commissioners asking the EH Board and Planning Department staff to consider discussing the possibility that the role of the EH Board might be expanded to include tasks in addition to reviewing variance requests and special reviews as required by the *Individual Sewage Disposal System Regulations*.

Glazer discussed spills that occurred at the Mt. Crested Butte Water and Sanitation that occurred starting in December of 2005. Discussion on the press release and the response for the Sanitation department. Location of Hall's residence to this area of spill was noted. For future agenda's we need to post that this will be a designated item and opened to the public. Last meeting Scott Morrill and the County Board of health were requested to attend and discuss this item with this board. Emergency response procedure and reverse 911 requested. Zanetell would like to request all Sanitation districts have their designated emergency plan on file with all current updated call lists available. Discussion on what the county's role is to monitor these outer sanitation districts. Current reporting is to the state and EPA, not to this county. Procedure on reporting by the state discussed. Glazer had an additional change 104 – M, add the board of health. Discussion needs to continue on this matter. Staff reviewed a meeting he had with the County Manager and Emergency services and for emergency response the board of health needs to be contacted. This board directed staff to make sure that it will assist in all environmental concerns. Sewer smell is not toxic material. The state does not collect the fines. SEPS is used. They do not collect the funds but direct them to be used in areas of concern. Request from Hall for packets earlier. Staff will endeavor to do this. Richards review page 2 article 2. CB Water Shed ordinance. See the OLD ISDS regs. Article 18 is located in the old regs.

**04:25 p.m. Adjourn**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Lucinda Lull, Chairperson**

**Date Signed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Minutes Prepared by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michelle Spain, Environmental Health Board Secretary